

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/454,868	12/03/1999	BARRY S. BROWN	DM-7029	4342
23914	7590 03/12/2003			
STEPHEN B. DAVIS			EXAMINER .	
BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT			GUCKER, STEPHEN	
P O BOX 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FRINCE ION,	, NJ 08343-4000		1647	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	12.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. O9/454,868 Brown et al,	
	Examiner Group Art Unit 1647	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address	
Peri d for Reply	2	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . atute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/4	102	
I This action is FINAL.		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>	pt for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disp sition of Claims		
Of the above claim(s) 1-22	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s) 1 - 22	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Clarm(s)	is/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s) 23 -	is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)	•	
	requirement.	
Application Papers		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review, PTO-948.	
The common of decision possession filed as	in Department Delicementated	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	ected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been	
<ul> <li>☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.</li> <li>☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number 1)</li> </ul>	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been  hber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num ☐ received in this national stage application from the left.)	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been  hber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num ☐ received in this national stage application from the list *Certified copies not received:	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been  hber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num ☐ received in this national stage application from the literature of the copies o	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been  hber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. 12

Serial Number: 09/454,868 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 23-24, in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that the search for art poses no undue burden on the Examiner, since the search for art for the Group II claims would include a search for art for the assay of the Group I claims. This is not found persuasive because the search for art for the elected claims for methods of treatment is non-overlapping and distinct for the search for art for the assay claims of Group I and would pose a serious search burden on the Examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 1-22 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No.

  11.
- 3. If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) based upon a previously filed copending application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph.
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The "Brief Description of the Figures" section of the instant application is missing its heading and the description for Figures 1-4 must include a description for each of the individual panels found in the figures.

Appropriate correction is required.

Serial Number: 09/454,868 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

- 5. Claims 23-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a non-elected claim (claim 1).
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Earl et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,173,489; "Earl"). Earl discloses linopirdine (3,3-bis(4-pyridinylmethyl)-1-phenylindolin-2-one) (column 61, line 5 to column 62, line 68) and methods of treating neurological or neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer's disease (column 1, lines 16-51; column 2, line 66 to column 3, line 35) by administering linopirdine. The use of linopirdine has the inherent property of acting as an antagonist to KCNQ2/KCNQ3 channels as taught by the instant disclosure and meets all the limitations of claims 1 and 23 because the use of linopirdine would inherently meet the assay limitations of claim 1 as taught by the instant disclosure.
- 8. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dailey et al. ("Dailey") in light of Rundfeldt et al. ("Rundfeldt"). Dailey discloses methods of treating epilepsy-prone rats with (N[2-amino-4-(4-fluorobenzylamino)-phenyl]carbamic acid ethyl ester dihydrochloride), otherwise known as the anticonvulsant retigabine (abstract of Dailey and see page 73 of Rundfeldt). Retigabine has the inherent property of acting as an agonist to KCNQ2/KCNQ3 channels as shown by Rundfeldt (abstract). Retigabine meets all the limitations of claims 1 and 24 because the use of retigabine would inherently meet the assay limitations of

Serial Number: 09/454,868 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

claim 1 as taught by Rundfeldt. Note that Rundfeldt need not be prior art as the reference is only being used to prove that retigabine has the inherent property of modulating KCNQ2/KCNQ3 channels.

9. No claim is allowed.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-6571. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 0930 to 1800. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623. The fax phone number for this Group is currently (703) 308-4242, but Applicant should confirm this by phoning the Examiner before faxing.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Stephen Gucker

February 24, 2003

GARY FUNCTION CENTER 1600